CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and

Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126
URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/>
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

*必填

Your name *

First Last

Jing Liao

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou,

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

liaojing5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Social-Group Based Deployment Intervention to Promote Acceptability
and Adoption of Wearable Activity Trackers among Mid- and Older- Aged
Adults: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

Lifesense

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

The version of the tracker is MAMBO

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

Chinese

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

您的回答

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

您的回答

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ☐ access is free and open
- ☐ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ☒ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ☐ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ☐ 其他:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Not applicable.

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

The current study focused on the pr

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

您的回答

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- ☒ Approximately Daily
- ☐ Approximately Weekly
- ☐ Approximately Monthly
- ☐ Approximately Yearly
- ☐ "as needed"
- ☐ 其他:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app
as recommended after 3 months *

☐ unknown / not evaluated

☐ 0-10%

☐ 11-20%

☐ 21-30%

☐ 31-40%

☐ 41-50%

☐ 51-60%

☐ 61-70%

☐ 71%-80%

☒ 81-90%

☐ 91-100%

☐ 其他:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- ☐ yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ☒ partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ☐ no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- ☐ potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- ☐ inconclusive: more research is needed
- ☐ 其他:

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- ☐ not submitted yet - in early draft status
- ☐ not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- ☐ submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- ☒ submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- ☐ submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- ☐ published
- ☐ 其他:

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ☐ not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- ☐ Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ☒ JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ☐ JMIR Serious Games
- ☐ JMIR Mental Health
- ☐ JMIR Public Health
- ☐ JMIR Formative Research
- ☐ Other JMIR sister journal
- ☐ 其他:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- ☐ Pilot/feasibility
- ☒ Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- ☐ no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- ☒ 其他: JMU ms#14969

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e. does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

☒ yes

☐ 其他:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we specify in our title the mode of delivery as "Wearable Activity Trackers".

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, our title includes non-web-based components as "Social-Group Based Deployment Intervention".

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we highlight our target group as "Mid and older- Aged Adults" in the title.

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we state in the methods section of the abstract as " These dancing groups were randomized 1:1 into two arms, and both received wrist-worn activity trackers and instructions at the baseline face-to-face assessment. Based on the Information-Motivation-Behavior Skill framework, the intervention arm was in addition given a tutorial on the purpose of exercise monitoring (Information), encouraged to compete in exercise and share exercise records with their dancing peers (Motivation), and further assisted with the use of activity tracker (Behavior Skill)."

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we specify the level of human involvement in the methods section of the abstract as “Based on the Information-Motivation-Behavior Skill framework, the intervention arm was in addition given a tutorial on the purpose of exercise monitoring (Information), encouraged to compete in exercise and share exercise records with their dancing peers (Motivation), and further assisted with the use of activity tracker (Behavior Skill).”

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We state our methods part as “These dancing groups were randomized 1:1 into two arms, which both received wrist-worn activity trackers and instructions at the baseline face-to-face assessment.”

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We address this point in the result section of the abstract as "All dancing groups were followed up for the post-intervention reassessment, with 61 out of 69 participants (88%) of the intervention arm (seven groups) and 56 out of 80 participants (70%) of the control arm (six group).

Participants' sociodemographic characteristics (mean age 62 years, retired) and health status were comparable between two arms, except for the intervention arm had fewer female participants and lower cognitive test scores. Our intervention significantly increased participants' overall acceptability by 6.8 points (95% CI 2.2 to 11.4), mainly driven by promoted motivation (adjusted group difference 2.0, 95% CI: 0.5 to 3.6), increased usefulness (adjusted group difference 2.5, 95% CI: 0.9 to 4.1) and better perceived ease-of-use (adjusted group difference 1.2, 95%CI 0.1 to 2.4); but not enjoyment and comfort (adjusted group difference 0.9, 95% CI -0.4 to 2.3). Higher adoption was also showed among participants of the intervention arm, who were twice more likely to have valid daily step account data than their controlled counterparts (adjusted Incidence Relative Risk (IRR) 2.0, 95% CI: 1.2 to 3.3). The average daily step counts, 7803 vs 5653 steps/day for the intervention and the control respectively, were similar between two arms (adjusted IRR=1.4, 95% CI: 0.7 to 2.5)."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We outlined in the first two paragraphs of the Introduction the ever-increasing use of mobile technology in health care, and the digital divide considering the limited use of mobile technology among older adults. Through reviewing previous literature on improving technology acceptability and adoption among this population (Paragraph 3, Intro), we proposed our theory-based intervention (Paragraph 4, Intro).

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reviewed what have done previously in Paragraph 3 (Intro).

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Our specific objective and hypothesis were presented in the last paragraph of Introduction.

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We introduced our trial design as "This phase 1 report covers the trial conducted between November 2017 and October 2018, consisting of seven-month recruitment and baseline assessment, three-month intervention, and the post-intervention reassessment. While phase 2 focuses on health outcomes, this interim study prioritizes implementation outcomes. The study was carried out in Guangzhou, the capital city of Guangdong province, China. The trial was developed guided by the CONSORT-EHEALTH Checklist and CONSORT-Checklist for reporting a cluster randomized controlled trial" The allocation ratio was stated in "Random Allocation" section as " All random allocation was done at the cluster level, namely, by the square-dancing groups. After the recruitment of all eligible participants, a statistician otherwise not associated with the project allocated participants by their square-dancing groups equally into two arms (1:1), following a simple randomization process. Although the participants were aware of the interventional nature of the study, they were blinded to their allocation status. Outcome assessors were blinded to the group assignments and were different from researchers who conducted and monitored the interventions."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no important changes to the methods after trial commencement.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other “unexpected events” that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants' eligibility was described as " Square-dancing groups regularly practicing in those selected places were used as the sampling frame. Dancing groups were eligible for the current study if the dancing style was not ballroom dance, and the group total size was no less than twenty with more than half of the dancers aged 45 years and older. Square dancers of the eligible dancing group, who were 1) community-residents of Guangzhou; 2) regularly practiced square dancing at least once per week in the past 12 months; 3) aged 45 years and older; and 4) agreed to participate in our study if recruited. Participants were excluded if they 1) had serious and uncontrolled diseases related to heart, brain, lung, liver and kidney or any acute complications; and 2) had no smart-phone devices (as the data recorded by the wearable activity trackers can only be uploaded to the cloud via the paired smart-phone devices)".

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described our recruitment and assessments strategies as "Major public squares and parks of three old districts of Guangzhou, namely Yuexiu, Haizhu and Liwan, were identified via online map (i.e. Baidu map), considering their land area and visitor flow, and sit in residential areas. Using a restricted randomizing sampling approach, eight squares and parks per district were chosen at random. We recruited participant in the selected square and parks, using advertisements and flyers." and "Participants were initially screened for eligibility via onsite interviews, and eligible participants were invited for health checkups at the local community health centers on a scheduled date."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Informed consent was obtained from our participants, as stated in the article "All participants read and signed the written informed consent approved by the Institutional Review Board (No. L2016-004) of the School of Public Health of Sun Yat-sen University."

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We address the data collection method as "Control variables were collected during the recruitment and baseline health checkup prior to the intervention by investigators and clinical staff who were blinded to the intervention assignment. During the intervention, data on participants' daily physical activity level (e.g. step counts) were automatically recorded and uploaded by the wearable activity trackers and their paired smart phones, as indicators for adoption. At the post-intervention assessment, participants evaluated their satisfaction with the activity trackers by the 14-item questionnaire, as an indicator for acceptability."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Data collection methods were described as the answer above.

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We provided detailed information on our intervention components in the Intervention & Procedures section of the Methods.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the use of the wearable activity trackers in our study as "Both the intervention and control arms were equipped with wrist-worn activity trackers free of charge at the baseline assessment."

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We provided detailed information on the mode of delivery, the theory-based intervention components in the Intervention & Procedures section of the Method, and in Figure 1.

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Information on use parameters were given in the Intervention & Procedures section of Methods, for instance as " Participants at both arms received 30-minute demonstration on the core functions of these activity trackers (e.g. how to wear, read the displays and charge the trackers), and were instructed to wear them over the entire day until going to bed."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We specified the level of human involvement for each component we used in our intervention. For instance, for the set-up and troubleshooting of wearable activity trackers we stated "One project facilitator was assigned to assist one dancing group, who conducted the initial set-ups, provided troubleshooting, and monitored participants' uploaded PA data via the managerial accounts."

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

For the intervention arm, we specified our reminders as "Six booster educational voice-messages on PA related topics such as warming up & relaxing exercises were delivered twice a month via participants' WeChat groups (defined below). These messages consisted of a one-minute voice message, along with the corresponding transcript and illustrations."

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described co-intervention for both arms as "Participants at both arms received 30-minute demonstration on the core functions of these activity trackers (e.g. how to wear, read the displays and charge the trackers), and were instructed to wear them over the entire day until going to bed." Additional co-intervention was only provided to the intervention arm based on the information-motivation-behavior skill components.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We defined our outcomes as "The current interim study focused on the process outcomes, namely, the acceptability and adoption of wearable activity trackers. Acceptability was defined as users' subjective perception and experiences, and was evaluated by a 14-item users' feedback questionnaire (Multimedia Appendix 1), adapted from previous usability and acceptability studies. Rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 'strongly disagree' to 5 'strongly agree', this questionnaire assessed users' acceptability in four main domains: enjoyment & comfort (three items, range 3~15; Cronbach alpha=.85), motivation to use (four items, range 4~20; Cronbach alpha=.83), usefulness (four items, range 4~20; Cronbach alpha=.89), and perceived ease-of-use (three items, range 3~15; Cronbach alpha=.76). A total score was calculated to indicate users' overall experience (Cronbach alpha=.93, range 14~70). Adoption was defined as users' interaction and usage behavior, and was evaluated objectively via the uploaded step count data in two ways: 1) the percentage of days having valid step records over individuals' follow-up days (average 90.7 days), and 2) the average daily step counts per person of these valid step records. Daily step counts less than the 5th percentile of the study sample's daily step counts (i.e. 1,311 steps per day) were treated as invalid records and were removed, as those steps might represent non-wear and inappropriate use of the activity trackers."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

您的回答

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

We described the use monitoring as “Adoption was defined as users’ interaction and usage behavior, and was evaluated objectively via the uploaded step count data in two ways: 1) the percentage of days having valid step records over individuals’ follow-up days (average 90.7 days), and 2) the average daily step counts per person of these valid step records. Daily step counts less than the 5th percentile of the study sample’s daily step counts (i.e. 1,311 steps per day) were treated as invalid records and were removed, as those steps might represent non-wear and inappropriate use of the activity trackers.”

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

We stated in the Data collection section: "To further explore users' experiences with the activity trackers, participants' qualitative feedbacks were also collected by the group facilitators. In reference to the acceptability questionnaire, participants were encouraged to elaborate their self-monitoring experiences regarding enjoyment & comfort, motivation to use, usefulness, and ease-of-use. Their feedbacks were analyzed in a deductive manner to extract information concerning the barriers and facilitators of each acceptability domain. A formal coding process was not applied."

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

NOT applicable.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The sample size calculation was described as "The main study's sample size was calculated based on changes in physical activity levels. It was calculated that 12 square dancing groups with an average of 15 participants per arm would have 85% power to detect an increase in physical activity from 1302 to 1500 MET-min per week, assuming an intracluster correlation of 0.05 and a 5% type I error. To further account for a 20% attrition ratio, 24 dancing groups across three districts were needed to fulfill a total sample size of 440 individual participants."

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used a simple randomization process to generate the random allocation sequence, as described in the Random Allocation section "All random allocation was done at the cluster level, namely, by the square-dancing groups. After the recruitment of all eligible participants, a statistician otherwise not associated with the project allocated participants by their square-dancing groups equally into two arms (1:1), following a simple randomization process."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used a simple randomization process to generate the random allocation sequence, without restriction.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the allocation concealment as "Although the participants were aware of the interventional nature of the study, they were blinded to their allocation status. Outcome assessors were blinded to the group assignments and were different from researchers who conducted and monitored the interventions."

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We stated in the Methods: "After the recruitment of all eligible participants, a statistician otherwise not associated with the project allocated participants by their square-dancing groups equally into two arms (1:1), following a simple randomization process."

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the blinding as "After the recruitment of all eligible participants, a statistician otherwise not associated with the project allocated participants by their square-dancing groups equally into two arms (1:1), following a simple randomization process. Although the participants were aware of the interventional nature of the study, they were blinded to their allocation status. Outcome assessors were blinded to the group assignments and were different from researchers who conducted and monitored the interventions."

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described this as "Although the participants were aware of the interventional nature of the study, they were blinded to their allocation status."

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We addressed the clustering as "The intent-to-treat (ITT) analysis was adopted to examine the treatment effects, to minimize the selection bias and keep the original randomization design" and "The intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was adopted to examine the treatment effects, to minimize the selection bias and keep the original randomization design" and " As participants clustered within dance groups, multilevel linear regression models were used to test for the intervention effect on continuous outcomes (i.e. acceptability) at individual participant level, while taking cluster level variation due to dancing groups into account. Similarly, multilevel negative binomial models were fitted to count outcomes (i.e. adoption), which followed an over-dispersed Poisson-like distribution. All models were adjusted for baseline covariates that were empirically suggested strong predictors for the adoption of wearable trackers. Length of individual follow-up days was further adjusted in the multilevel negative binomial model for daily step counts."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the imputation method as "Missing baseline covariates and missing outcomes were multiply imputed under the missing at random assumption, using individual demographic information, health status, other outcomes and cluster identifiers, separately by randomized arms to avoid biasing treatment effects towards the null. Altogether, 20 sets of complete datasets were imputed based on the chained equations. Primary analyses were then performed on each complete dataset and combined results were obtained according to the Rubin's combination rules."

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We only conducted sensitivity analysis with complete cases.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We stated the IRB approval as "All participants read and signed the written informed consent approved by the Institutional Review Board (No. L2016-004) of the School of Public Health of Sun Yat-sen University."

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We specified the number of participants for each group as "Of the 88 dancing groups initially assessed for eligibility, 26 groups did not meet the cluster inclusion criteria mainly because of dancing style and group size. Nearly half of these groups (38/88, 43%) assessed declined to participate due to lack of trust or time. The rest 11 groups were also not eligible, as their group members were not local residents (n=2), hadn't been regularly practicing square dancing in the past 12 months (n=5), or less than two participants of the given group were willing to participate (n=4). The remaining 13 dancing groups were 1:1 randomized into the intervention arm (n=7) and the control arm (n=6). Among these eligible groups, 69 out of 82 participants (84 %) of the intervention arm and 80 out of 98 participants (82%) of the control arm received the allocated treatment. During the follow-up, no dancing groups withdrew; while five participants of the intervention arm and 19 of the control arm were lost to follow up, two participants of each arm had discontinued intervention due to technical problem, and one participant of the intervention arm and three participants of the control arm withdrew from the study. The final ITT analysis sample was based on the 149 participants of 13 dancing groups, out of whom 117 (78.5%) filled the users' feedback questionnaire of the wearable activity trackers."

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Loss and exclusion was presented in the flowchat (Figure 2), and we also stated in the Results as "During the follow-up, no dancing groups withdrew; while five participants of the intervention arm and 19 of the control arm were lost to follow up, two participants of each arm had discontinued intervention due to technical problem, and one participant of the intervention arm and three participants of the control arm withdrew from the study. The final ITT analysis sample was based on the 149 participants of 13 dancing groups, out of whom 117 (78.5%) filled the users' feedback questionnaire of the wearable activity trackers."

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We addressed the period of recruitment and follow-up in the Method part as "This interim report covers the trial conducted between November 2017 and October 2018, consisting of seven-month recruitment and baseline assessment, three-month intervention, and the post-intervention reassessment."

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We provided participants' baseline demographic and clinical characteristics in the Table 1.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reported "Sociodemographic characteristics and health status were similar between the two arms. Most of the participants were married older women (mean age 62 years), retired, with an educational degree of senior high school, had diagnosed chronic diseases but were physically and mentally sound. The intervention arm had fewer female participants and lower mean TICS scores than the control arm. The average group size was 9.9 and 13.3 participants for the intervention arm and the control arm respectively."

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We clearly indicated the number of participant in the result and in the flowchart (Figure 2). As we stated, “The final ITT analysis sample was based on the 149 participants of 13 dancing groups, out of whom 117 (78.5%) filled the users’ feedback questionnaire of the wearable activity trackers.”

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We applied ITT for the primary outcome analysis, which was mentioned in the statistical analysis section and results section.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

For each primary outcome we provided estimated effects with its 95% confidence interval, for instance, "After adjusting for clustering effect, baseline unbalanced covariates and predictors for adoption, the absolute group difference in the overall acceptability score was estimated to be 6.8 points (95% CI 2.2 to 11.4) higher in the intervention arm than the control arm."

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As our study was aimed to explore the acceptability and adoption of wearable activity trackers by mid- and older- aged adults, we specifically reported measures regarding these two process outcomes, for instance, "Regarding adoption outcomes measured by step count data, the median of the percentage of days that participants had valid step count record were 44.1% vs 11.4% for the intervention and control arms, with 10% and 25% of each arm having invalid step counts records."

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reported both absolute and relative effect size for each outcome measures by intervention arms with (Table 2) and without (Appendix table 1) multiple imputation and covariates adjustments.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reported results among complete cases analyses as sensitivity analysis in Appendix table 2.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Our study had no harms for our participants, and any potential unintended effects or use of the wearable activity trackers were reported in the qualitative feedback from participant section, such as "some participants commented that they preferred the sleep monitoring function to the PA monitoring function."

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

您的回答

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reported the qualitative feedback from our participants in the Qualitative feedback from participants and researchers section, as "Qualitative feedbacks from participants and researchers' observations indicated some common problems encountered by both arms. In terms of enjoyment and comfort of wearing, participants noted that activity trackers were extremely uncomfortable to wear when the weather was hot and humid, let alone during dancing if they sweat heavily. Some participants reflected that the figures displayed on the trackers were too small to read, such that they had to wear glasses to read them. Regarding usefulness, a few participants thought that the function of the wearable activity trackers was quite limited; while other functions, such as blood pressure monitoring, were more useful and relevant from their point of view. For instance, some participants commented that they preferred the sleep monitoring function to the PA monitoring function. As for ease-of-use, our researchers found that they received most common inquiries about how to charge the trackers and how to synchronize data with the paired smart phone."

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We summarized our main findings in line with the study questions in the first paragraph of the Discussion, as "We assessed the effectiveness of a social group-based deployment intervention informed by the IMBS framework to promote wearable activity trackers' acceptability and adoption among community-dwelling mid- and older-aged adults. In line with our hypotheses, the results revealed that our intervention significantly increased participants' acceptability, mainly driven by improvements in the perceived motivation, usefulness and ease-of-use. The intervention also effectively promoted participants' adoption, quantified as twice the amount of valid step count data of the intervention arm than the control arm."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We concluded our study by highlighting its limitations alongside directions for future studies, such as "Second, as our intervention package addressed the three main barriers of behavior changes jointly according to the IMBS framework, we were not able to distinguish the unique contribution of each intervention component to the program effect. Additional research design may be considered in future studies, such as the factorial experiment that may allow the evaluation of sole and joint effects of such an intervention. "and "Lastly, as a phase 1 study, we have yet to capture and report long-term acceptability, adoption and health-related outcomes, which are needed to establish the intervention's long-term behavior maintenance and effectiveness."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Limitations of the current analysis were outlined as "Several limitations of our study are worth noting. First, significantly underestimating the challenges in the recruitment, we recruited only 13 dancing groups rather than the 24 groups as expected. The participants recruited thus were likely to be more prone to technology than the general mid- and older-adults. However, this self-selection of the participants should not affect the internal validity of our program effects as the intervention and control arms shared similar characteristics and were randomly assigned. The self-selection, however, limited our program implication to the broader mid- and older- aged population. Second, as our intervention package addressed the three main barriers of behavior changes jointly according to the IMBS framework, we were not able to distinguish the unique contribution of each intervention component to the program effect. Additional research design may be considered in future studies, such as the factorial experiment that may allow the evaluation of sole and joint effects of such an intervention. Third, our intervention relied on social interactions and required regular assistance from the research staff, particularly in the initial phase. Although social support and comparison functions have been integrated into many activity trackers nowadays, our assistance level is likely to be higher than what commercially available activity trackers typically provide. Lastly, as an interim study, we have yet to capture and report long-term acceptability, adoption and health-related outcomes, which are needed to establish the intervention's long-term behavior maintenance and effectiveness."

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We addressed our generalizability as “The participants recruited thus were likely to be more prone to technology than the general mid- and older- adults. However, this self-selection of the participants should not affect the internal validity of our program effects as the intervention and control arms shared similar characteristics and were randomly assigned. The self-selection, however, limited our program implication to the broader mid- and older- aged population.”

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We discussed the RCT in comparison to a routine application as
"Although social support and comparison functions have been integrated into many activity trackers nowadays, our assistance level is likely to be higher than what commercially available activity trackers typically provide."

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

ChiCTR-IOC-17013185

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial protocol can be acquired from the lead author.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As mentioned in Acknowledgement, our study was supported the Guangdong Province Natural Science Foundation (2017A030310346, 2018A0303130046) and Sun Yat-sen Scientific Research Foundation for Early Career Researchers (17ykpy15). The founders play no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, or result interpretation.

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is no conflicts of interest to be declared.

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ☐ yes, major changes
- ☐ yes, minor changes
- ☒ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

We provided more detailed description regarding the study methods in accordance with the checklist.

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

Roughly 2-3 days.

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no
- ☐ 其他:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

☒ yes

☐ no

☐ 其他:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

您的回答

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

提交

切勿通过 Google 表单提交密码。

此内容不是由 Google 所创建，Google 不对其作任何担保。 [举报滥用行为](#) - [服务条款](#) - [隐私权政策](#)

Google 表单