CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/>

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923

PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *

First Last

Laura Josephine Hendrikx

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Combat Stress, Leatherhead, United Kingdom

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

laura.hendrikx@combatstress.org.uk

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Supporting the Mental Health Needs of Military Partners Through the Together Webinar Program: Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

TTP-Webinar (The Together Webinar Programr

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

Your answer

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ☐ access is free and open
- ☐ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ☐ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ☐ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ☒ Other: access is not currently open, intervention is administered to partners c

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Psychological distress (of military partners)

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Common mental health difficulties (GHQ-12), S

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Your answer

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- ☐ Approximately Daily
- ☒ Approximately Weekly
- ☐ Approximately Monthly
- ☐ Approximately Yearly
- ☐ "as needed"
- ☐ Other:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- ☐ unknown / not evaluated
- ☐ 0-10%
- ☐ 11-20%
- ☐ 21-30%
- ☐ 31-40%
- ☐ 41-50%
- ☐ 51-60%
- ☐ 61-70%
- ☐ 71%-80%
- ☐ 81-90%
- ☐ 91-100%
- ☒ Other: The intervention under investigation is not an app. It was a 6-week, live

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- ☐ yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ☒ partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ☐ no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- ☐ potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- ☐ inconclusive: more research is needed
- ☐ Other:

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- ☐ not submitted yet - in early draft status
- ☐ not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- ☐ submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- ☐ submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- ☒ submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- ☐ published
- ☐ Other:

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ☐ not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- ☒ Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ☐ JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ☐ JMIR Serious Games
- ☐ JMIR Mental Health
- ☐ JMIR Public Health
- ☐ JMIR Formative Research
- ☐ Other JMIR sister journal
- ☐ Other:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- ☒ Pilot/feasibility
- ☐ Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

☐ no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR

☒ Other: 25622

TITLE AND ABSTRACT**1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title****1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? ***

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

☒ yes

☐ Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The name of the intervention is an online-administered programme, which is identified in the title as a "Webinar programme".

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - the intervention under investigation did not include non-web components as a central factor

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes")
Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The title denotes that the intervention is aimed at "supporting the mental health needs of military partners"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The abstract mentions that the intervention under investigation is a "six-week structured, remote-access, group intervention" and highlights that study compares "the TTP-Webinar intervention to a waitlist condition".

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The abstract does not specify who delivered the intervention under investigation. The study coordinator was trained in the delivery of the intervention for purposes of the present study. It is not deemed relevant to state this in the abstract as further dissemination of TTP-Webinar can be undertaken by professionals across different disciplines.

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The abstract does not mention open vs closed assessments. However, it explains that participants were selected from closed group in terms of being partners of "veterans engaged with a mental health charity".

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The abstract indicates that "196 military partners... were randomly allocated to the intervention (n = 97) or waitlist (n = 99) condition". Other information on participant flow through the pilot RCT was not reported in the abstract section due to word limitations. The abstract goes on to indicate results of the primary outcomes (i.e., "Compared to then waitlist, military partners in the TTP-Webinar had reduced common mental health difficulties, $p = .015$, and secondary trauma symptoms, $p = .001$. However, there was no difference in terms of quality of life ratings, $p = .062$."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - We do not consider to the present trial to be a negative trial as the TTP-Webinar intervention revealed significant reductions on military partners' common mental health difficulties and secondary trauma symptoms.

INTRODUCTION**2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale****2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution**

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The introduction highlighted the existing problem the study attempted to address in that "military partners are at an increased risk of developing psychological difficulties" and "there remains a paucity of programmes that specifically and appropriately target military partners and their vulnerabilities". The introduction further elaborates that "military partners face a range of barriers that may prevent them from seeking or engaging with psychological support" and that "it is essential to consider how to make evidence-based treatment most accessible" for them.

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The introduction elaborates on a community-based The Together Programme (TTP) that "when piloted across nine UK locations, ... demonstrated promising reductions in military partners' mental health difficulties and secondary trauma symptoms". It is further clarified that this previous study showed that "many partners were unable to engage with support" due to practical barriers (such as work responsibilities, childcare arrangements, travel to support venue). No other studies/interventions supporting military partners' mental health were reported in the introduction as there remains a lack of evidence-based treatment for military partners. The waitlist comparator was chosen for this reason, in that there is no standard or recommended care for military partners by which to compare the effectiveness of TTP-Webinar.

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The introduction stated the study's objectives and hypotheses as follows: "The present study is a randomised, waitlist-controlled pilot trial that examines the effectiveness of TTP-Webinar in supporting the mental health needs of military partners. It was hypothesised that the TTP-Webinar would result in significant reductions in general psychological distress and secondary trauma symptoms, as well as improvements in overall quality of life."

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods specifies that the study was a "pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT)". Within the procedure section of the paper, it is further described that participants were randomised to either TTP-Webinar or waitlist condition.

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The manuscript makes no mention of any changes as there were no important changes to the study methods after trial commencement.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - There were no bug fixes, downtimes, or content changes to report.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The method highlights that participants identified as eligible were "partners of veterans experiencing PTSD and other mental health difficulties". Participants were screened as eligible if "they were currently in an intimate relationship with a veteran who: i) met the criteria for PTSD, and ii) was previously or currently engaged with CS."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Computer/Internet literacy was not explicitly set out as an eligibility criteria in the trial. It is worth noting that when re-screening participants (prior to selecting intervention group), it became apparent that a few participants did not have access to reliable internet connection to take part in the study.

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods details that participants were recruited offline "by writing to veterans who had engaged with Combat Stress (CS) seeking support for mental health difficulties". Further steps in recruiting participants involved being re-screened by the study coordinator via telephone. Although the webinar intervention was delivered entirely online, the study did involve 1:1 telephone contact with some partners, details in the methods as "participants were offered one 1:1 telephone contact if requested or if any risk concerns were identified".

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As part of recruitment, veterans engaged with Combat Stress were initially provided information of the study. Partners of veterans who were interested would then directly contact a research assistant who would inform them of the aims of the study. Study information and the consent form were posted to potential participants and returned via mail.

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods indicates that participants "returned completed baseline measures that were mailed to them". Completed measures were returned to the research department of the charity, where the webinar was being hosted from. At post-treatment, participants "were sent email reminders" and "were called by a research assistant up to three times to complete the measures via telephone" if they had not yet been returned.

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All outcomes were self-assessed. Baseline measures were returned via post, all other questionnaires were completed in an online survey that was sent via email (which were followed up by email reminders and telephone calls if participants did not return measures).

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The measures that were sent to participants included a logo of Combat Stress, the charity which their veteran couple was either currently or previously engaged with for mental health support.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There manuscript did not make specific mention of the developer of TTP-Webinar. The manuscript detailed that TTP-Webinar was adapted from the community-based TTP intervention and references the authors who piloted TTP across 9 UK sites. TTP-Webinar was developed and adapted by the Research Department at Combat Stress. While the Research Department aims to conduct research that supports the delivery of clinical services within the charity, it operates in a relatively independent manner. As such, it is not deemed that there are any conflict of interests to declare.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods details the development of the TTP-Webinar by referencing that it is the online adaption of the TTP programme, and references the study that initially piloted the TTP programme. The methods also details that the programmes were developed through a reviewing of existing programmes for military partners.

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - There were no major changes in the development of evaluation of the TTP-Webinar intervention or comparator to declare.

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The TTP-Webinar is a "manualised programme". Researchers wishing to replicate findings of the present study could make a reasonable request for intervention material from the corresponding author.

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - The intervention under investigation was a live webinar, delivered by an individual trained in the content of the intervention.

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As detailed in points above, participants had to be a member of a specific group (i.e., a partner of a veteran seeking mental health support from Combat Stress). Participants in the TTP-Webinar received a link which they could use to access the online platform where the webinars were delivered. There is no online portal/app for reviewers/readers to access as webinars were delivered live.

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods details that "TTP-Webinar is a live, webinar intervention" that "is a manualised programme consisting of six, hour long, weekly sessions." It further goes on to detail that "The content of each session encompasses a focus on: i) psychoeducation and self-management strategies for supporting veteran with PTSD or other mental health difficulties, ii) self-management strategies and skills training to enhance their own self-care, and iii) between-session homework to practise using the introduced tools. The focus of each session of the TTP-webinar programme can be found in the supplementary material. TTP-Webinar is delivered on an online platform that participants join via a link they receive."

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were made aware that TTP-Webinar consists of "six, hour long, weekly sessions."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial was a live webinar, hosted by the webinar facilitator. During the weekly webinar sessions, "group participants can see the facilitator and the relevant session material and presentation slides, but are not able to see or hear other participants. They are encouraged to engage in the sessions by providing feedback, asking questions, and sharing their own experiences via the chat box that is viewed by all participants." The manuscript further reports that some participants were offered one 1:1 telephone contact, if requested or if risk was identified.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Prompts consisted of sending email reminders to participants to sign up to one of the TTP-Webinar groups. Additional prompts included sending participants an email with the weekly webinar link to join at the time of the session.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - There were no co-interventions related to this trial. The TTP-Webinar is intended as a standalone 6-week intervention for military partners.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The methods details that the primary outcome measures of the trial were common mental health difficulties (GHQ-12), secondary trauma symptoms (STSS), and Quality of life (single item). The procedure section details that measures were collected at start of treatment, end of treatment, and one month follow-up.

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

The manuscript does not make mention of online validation of measures or use of CHERRIES items, as this was not done within the present scope of the trial.

6a-ii) Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"Use" of TTP-Webinar was monitored by attendance of the total number of sessions.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Brief qualitative information was gathered at follow-up. Participants had the opportunity to record their experience of the TTP-Webinar.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A power calculation was done based upon on the preliminary outcomes from the community-based TTP pilot. The calculation is based upon 80% power of detecting a 5 point reduction on the GHQ-12 with a standard deviation of 6.0 assuming a standard 95% significance level. 24 participants in each group will be needed. Assuming a conservative 25% drop out rate, 6 extra participants will need to be recruited for each group. This gives us a minimum sample size of 60 in total.

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - There were no interim analysis or stopping guidelines employed in the study.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants expressing interest in the study and returning baseline measures were provided an anonymous study ID. Participants were then randomised (simple randomisation) to either TTP-Webinar or waitlist control.

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants expressing interest in the study and returning baseline measures were provided an anonymous study ID. Participants were then randomised (simple randomisation) to either TTP-Webinar or waitlist control.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were only randomised after baseline measures had been completed and participant was assigned an anonymous study ID.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The research assistant was responsible for generating the simple random allocation sequence, enrolling participants and assigning participants to conditions.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The webinar facilitator and research assistant involved in data collection were not blind to condition".

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The participants were not aware of whether they had been allocated to the intervention or control condition. After randomisation, all participants were told that they would be contacted regarding the start of treatment and potential dates.

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - This is not applicable to the present study.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"2x2 (Condition: intervention vs waitlist x Time: T0 vs T1) repeated measures Analysis of Variance (RM-ANOVA's) were conducted on the GHQ-12, STSS and QoL scores, separately. Significant interactions were followed up with paired sample t-tests. Additional RM-ANOVA's were then conducted to explore potential differences on the avoidance, arousal and intrusion STSS subscales."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
subitem not at all important						essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Missing data was inputted in a step-wise manner and was inputted only if 20% or less of data on the GHQ-12 and STSS were missing (across all time points). Inputted means for missing baseline data included all 196 participants who returned baseline measures. Inputted means for time point 1 included participants who took part in the programme and completed measures at the given time point. Inputted means were computed per condition."

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - Not relevant to the trial.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)**X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval**

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The present study was "approved by the research department at the charity through which participants were recruited".

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The manuscript details that participants provided written consent via the consent form that was mailed and returned via post.

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The TTP-Webinar intervention was "administered as a treatment within a mental health treatment centre". The research department that approved the research project is compliant with the charity's clinical governance protocols. All data collection, privacy considerations and steps taken to reduce likelihood of harm were in line with the charity's existing policies ensuring the safe and effective delivery of care.

RESULTS**13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome**

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The manuscript makes clear mention that "196 provided consent..., and were randomly allocated to the study conditions". It goes on to state that following randomisation, participants were not able to be contacted, were no longer eligible or withdrew from the study. "As the pilot aimed to evaluate the TTP-Webinar programme for those who utilized it, these participants were excluded and analyses included only participants who took part in at least one webinar session".

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As mentioned under point 13a, the manuscript details that participants were excluded after randomisation. "29 participants were not able to be contacted, 44 were no longer eligible (e.g., ended relationship with veteran), and 21 withdrew from the study due to difficulties with technology availability and use, previous engagement in the community TTP programme, childcare responsibilities, etc".

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Figure 1 in the manuscript details the flow of participants throughout the trial, included the initial number of potential participants screened, those who dropped out after randomisation but prior to group selection, and those who withdrew after group selection.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Figure 1 in the manuscript details that potential participants for the trial were identified looking back on time a time period of 31st March 2018 to 1st April 2019. The manuscript further specifies that "intervention groups ran from June – July 2019", and that "participants completed posttreatment measures one month following the end of the TTP-Webinar Programme." It further details that prior to the commencing of the waitlist groups, participants "were contacted via email and instructed to complete measures and sign up to one of the four waitlist groups that ran from August – September 2019".

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial ended when all TTP-Webinar groups were completed and all relevant measures had been collected.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Table 1 in the manuscript presents baseline demographics of the study sample. Table 2 in the manuscript presents demographic and mental health outcomes of participants assigned to the TTP-Webinar intervention and waitlist conditions.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Tables 1 and 2 present age, living relations, dependents, employment status and level of education demographics.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The data analysis and results section clearly identify the number of participants included in each analysis.

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Primary analysis was not intent-to-treat. This was decided as the aim of the pilot was to determine the feasibility of the online group delivery of the TTP programme. The analyses therefore only included participants who signed up and attended at least one session of the programme.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The results provided in the manuscript include the partial eta squared effect size for each primary outcome. Further investigation of significant interventions are reported with the relevant Cohen's d effect size. Standard errors are depicted on each Figure of the primary outcomes.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A – The manuscript does not report on average session length as all webinar sessions were constricted to the one-hour duration. Metrics on intensity of use in terms of attendance rates are detailed in Figure 1 of the manuscript.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A – No binary outcomes are presented in the manuscript.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The manuscript details that "additional RM-ANOVA's were then conducted to explore potential differences on the avoidance, arousal and intrusion STSS subscales". This was detailed under the data analysis section. No additional exploratory analyses (including subgroup or adjusted analyses) were conducted.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A – Not relevant to the present study as the study's main analysis only included participants who attended at least one webinar session (i.e., "user")

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A – no harm or unintended effects were reported as no such instances arose during the trial.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A - No privacy breaches or technical problems arose during the trial.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Qualitative feedback from participants or observations from study coordinator were not presented in the current manuscript. Details of the acceptability of the TTP-Webinar are published elsewhere (Hendrikx, L. J., & Murphy, D. (2020). Exploring the acceptability of The Together Webinar Programme for military partners: A qualitative study. Journal of Telemedicine and e-health, 26, 1475-1482. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2019.0309>.)

DISCUSSION**22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence**

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The study aimed to examine the impact of TTP-Webinar and to determine the feasibility of offering support via a remote-access online platform. Findings of the present study revealed that partners in the TTP-Webinar intervention condition demonstrated moderate reductions in self-reported general psychological distress and secondary trauma symptoms. However, similar reductions were not observed in self-reported quality of life."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Several avenues for further research are highlighted in the present manuscript. For example, the manuscript raises awareness that such a programme may be helpful in equipping partners with the skills to support veterans during their treatment, but cautions that such considerations should not be at the cost of greater burden on the partner. "It is worth further investigation to determine at what point is it most appropriate to offer military partners such a programme to ensure that they are able to look after their own wellbeing adjunct to potentially support veterans' treatment". The study further highlights that there remains little known in terms of military partners experience of secondary trauma symptoms, and suggests that further research is required to gain further insight into how to attenuate secondary PTSD symptom clusters. Finally, the study notes that "it is important to note that there were still several partners who could not engage in the present study due to practical barriers and future research should consider how to further minimise the disparity between partners in need of support and those engaging in support."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses**20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials**

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The present study identifies various trial limitations, including the recruitment of participants via veteran consent, the drop out of partners, and that participants were not screened for presence of mental health difficulties as inclusion criteria. In terms of trial blinding, there are limited concerns that this was an issue in the present study as information regarding the aims of the study was communicated in a way to communicate that the study was merely investigating a mental health programme for military partners (i.e., there was no explicit discussion around waitlist as the comparator).

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential
Clear selection						

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The study makes mention that despite the promising findings in the trial, there was a high drop out and that those who dropped out "differed in terms of education level, employment status, and quality of life". The manuscript also mentions that the sample was "homogeneous, and it remains unclear whether the findings would generalise to other groups such as male partners and partners in non-heterosexual relationships."

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants in the present study were recruited via contact with veterans engaged with the mental health charity. However, "there remains a lack of clarity regarding veterans' attitudes of their partners receiving support, and it remains plausible that veterans may have withheld study information or otherwise restricted their partners' engagement. Routine applications of the intervention could include directly contacting military partners to infer interest in taking part. However, it is noted that in offering the intervention to partners of veterans who are engaged in support, there may still need to be consent obtained from veteran to contact their partner.

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial was registered retrospectively with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT05013398).

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? ***

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The full protocol of the trial can be accessed via request to the corresponding author or via the retrospective registration of the trial.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? ***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The "funding of the project was provided by the Royal British Legion".

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)**X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated**

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	essential

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The study team were involved in the development of the TTP-Webinar manual.

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ☐ yes, major changes
- ☐ yes, minor changes
- ☒ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

Your answer

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

A few hours (approximately 3 hours), no changes made to manuscript as it has already been accepted for publication.

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no
- ☐ Other:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

☐ yes

☒ no

☐ Other:

Clear selection

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Your answer

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Google Forms