

Corrigenda and Addenda

Correction: The State of Evidence in Patient Portals: Umbrella Review

Marcy G Antonio¹, BSc, MPH; Olga Petrovskaya², RN, PhD; Francis Lau¹, PhD, FCAHS, CTSS

¹University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

²University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Marcy G Antonio, BSc, MPH

University of Victoria

PO Box 1700 STN CSC

Victoria, BC, V8W 2Y2

Canada

Phone: 1 7783501089

Email: mantonio@uvic.ca

Related Article:

Correction of: <https://www.jmir.org/2020/11/e23851>

(*J Med Internet Res* 2021;23(8):e32421) doi: [10.2196/32421](https://doi.org/10.2196/32421)

In “The State of Evidence in Patient Portals: Umbrella Review” (*J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(11):e23851) the authors added a clarification.

In the section “Rating the Umbrella Review Evidence,” two sentences have been added to the text to clarify that this work was not done under the guidance of the GRADE working group but was conceptualized independently of the group. In the originally published paper, the first paragraph of this section appeared as follows:

As the concluding step, 2 researchers independently assessed the strength of evidence for quantitative umbrella review finding statements and the confidence in the evidence for qualitative umbrella review finding statements. For this purpose, we developed meta-level umbrella review tools, GRADE-UR (Grading of Strength of Evidence for Quantitative Research at the Level of an Umbrella Review) and CERQual-UR (Grading of Confidence in the Evidence of Qualitative Research at the Level of an Umbrella Review), by applying a voting-counting method [38] and adapting GRADE [39-41] and CERQual [42-44] SR evaluation tools.

This has been corrected to:

As the concluding step, 2 researchers independently assessed the strength of evidence for quantitative umbrella review finding statements and the confidence in the evidence for qualitative umbrella review finding statements. For this purpose, we developed meta-level umbrella review tools, GRADE-UR (Grading of Strength of Evidence for Quantitative Research at the Level of an Umbrella Review) and CERQual-UR (Grading of Confidence in the Evidence of Qualitative Research at the Level of an Umbrella Review), by applying a voting-counting method [38] and adapting GRADE [39-41] and CERQual [42-44] SR evaluation tools. The methodological approach was conceptualized independent from the GRADE working group. The GRADE-UR and CERQual-UR acronym was created by the authors of this paper to reflect an adaptation of GRADE and CERQual.

The correction will appear in the online version of the paper on the JMIR Publications website on August 16, 2021, together with the publication of this correction notice. Because this was made after submission to PubMed, PubMed Central, and other full-text repositories, the corrected article has also been resubmitted to those repositories.

This is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 27.07.21; accepted 02.08.21; published 16.08.21.

Please cite as:

Antonio MG, Petrovskaya O, Lau F

Correction: The State of Evidence in Patient Portals: Umbrella Review

J Med Internet Res 2021;23(8):e32421

URL: <https://www.jmir.org/2021/8/e32421>

doi: [10.2196/32421](https://doi.org/10.2196/32421)

PMID:

©Marcy G Antonio, Olga Petrovskaya, Francis Lau. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<https://www.jmir.org>), 16.08.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.